

DRAFT

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
ENERGY DIVISION
ID#3185
RESOLUTION G-3362
February 26, 2004

R E S O L U T I O N

Resolution G-3362. Pacific Gas and Electric (PG&E) requests the Commission's approval to credit the Core Gas Fixed Cost (CFCA), the Noncore Customer Class Charge Account (NCA) and the Electric Transition Revenue Account (TRA) by the amount of over-collected revenue requirements associated with medical and life insurance Post-retirement Benefits Other than Pensions (PBOPs) and Long Term Disability (LTD) for the period of 1999 through 2002. The filing is made in compliance with Decision (D.) 00-02-046 and D. 95-12-055. Approved.

By Advice Letter 2493-G/2432-E Filed on October 28, 2003.

SUMMARY

This Resolution approves PG&E's request to credit the overcollected revenue requirements for medical and life insurance PBOPs and LTD for the period of 1999 through 2002 to the CFCA, NCA, and the TRA. The credits to the three accounts total \$75,460,000. Applying the credits to the aforementioned accounts is consistent with prior Commission treatment of similar overcollections. (See Resolution G-3317 approving PG&E's Advice Letter 2272-G/2050-E.) Additionally, this treatment is more cost effective than disbursing checks or crediting ratepayer's individual accounts.

ORA's protest is denied.

BACKGROUND

Advice Letter 2493-G/2432-E is filed in compliance with PG&E's 1999 General Rate Case (GRC), D. 00-02-046 (pages 319 through 324). The 1999 GRC decision continued the PBOPs adjustment treatment previously adopted in PG&E's 1996 GRC D. 95-12-055 (Ordering Paragraph 8), as modified by D. 96-05-010. PG&E filed the advice letter to correct for the difference between the revenue

requirement associated with the estimated PBOP expenses adopted in PG&E's 1999 GRC and the actual PBOP expenses. The actual PBOP expense is the lesser of the Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) 106 expense or the tax deductible contribution amount for 1999 through 2002.

Decision 95-12-055 also requires that PG&E provide a similar adjustment based on any difference between the revenue requirement associated with the estimated contribution to the trust established for LTD benefits adopted in the 1999 GRC and the revenue requirement associated with the actual amounts contributed to the trust.

The method used to calculate the adjustments is the same as that used to calculate the adjustments proposed in Advice Letter 1956-G/1583-G, filed June 7, 1996, for 1993 through 1995 and Advice Letter 2272-G/2050-E, filed October 27, 2000, for 1996 through 1998. On July 17, 1996, the Commission approved Advice Letter 1956-G/1583-E; on June 28, 2001, the Commission approved Advice Letter 2272-G/2050-E in Resolution G-3317.

PG&E will post prior period credit adjustments to the CFCA, NCA, and the TRA accounts totaling \$75,460,000.

NOTICE

Notice of AL 2493-G/2432-E was made by publication in the Commission's Daily Calendar. PG&E states that a copy of the Advice Letter was mailed and distributed in accordance with Section III-G of General Order 96-A.

PROTESTS

In a letter from the Commission dated November 17, 2003, the protest period for PG&E's AL 2493-G/2432-E was extended from November 17, 2003 to December 8, 2003.

PG&E's Advice Letter AL 2493-G/2432-E was timely protested by the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) on December 8, 2003.

ORA protests that PG&E's elimination of certain credit values, or "negative expenses," by setting a value of zero for ratesetting process provides a windfall to shareholders at the expense of ratepayers.

ORA further protests that PG&E's contribution to the LTD trust is inconsistent with the Commission order.

On December 15, 2003, PG&E responded to ORA's protest. PG&E disputes ORA's protest and claims that PG&E's treatment is exactly consistent with the Commission's decisions on the revenue requirement true-up for these benefit plans.

DISCUSSION

Energy Division reviewed Advice Letter 2493-G/2432-E, ORA's protest, and PG&E response. We discuss below the relevant issues raised in the protest, which we reject.

Negative Expenses

In 2000, the PBOPs medical trust, and in 2000 and 2001, the PBOPs life trust experienced situations where the amortizations of the prior net gains were large enough to create net negative expenses. In those instances, PG&E used a lower limit of zero expense to determine the credit to customers. ORA protests that PG&E should use the negative expense and credit excessive amount to customers.

PG&E responds that PG&E's treatment of the negative SFAS 106 expense is logical, returns to customers all the funds available, and does not create gains or losses to either PG&E or its customers.

PG&E's argument is persuasive. ORA's protest is not consistent with Commission Decision 92-12-015 that adopted SFAS 106 (Employers' Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions). In that decision, it was noted that one of the components of the annual PBOP costs was "actual return on plan assets". Additionally, one of the considerations of the decision was the time value of money. It was discussed, and no party disputed, that returns of invested funds would result in lower overall cost to the ratepayer. Finally, as PG&E is authorized to recover PBOP expenses, it follows that if the PBOP expense is zero, then there is nothing for PG&E to recover from ratepayers. It does not follow that excess income from the trust be shared with ratepayers via a

refund. Any excess income earned by the trust will, however, benefit ratepayers by lowering future PBOP revenue requirements.

ORA's protest to credit the excess gains of the trust to the ratepayers would violate the definition of the cost component and negate the time value of money consideration. ORA's protest would also require that the trust assets be reduced, which would cause future contributions to increase.

LTD Contribution

ORA protests that PG&E is replacing SFAS 112 (Employers' Accounting for Postemployment Benefits) expenses to the LTD trust with an amount that PG&E unilaterally decides. This is incorrect. As PG&E rebutted, ORA's protest gives the impression that the Commission established a true-up requirement based on the lower of the SFAS expense or the contribution to the trust. No such direction was given in the 1996 GRC decision (D.) 95-12-055. In that decision, ORA's predecessor organization, the Division of Ratepayer Advocates (DRA) recommended "that PG&E be required to refund to ratepayers any funds collected for this purpose (LTD) but not used to pay for postemployment benefits." The decision concludes the LTD discussion by stating, "Ultimately, PG&E shall refund any amounts included in rates that are not contributed to the fund." Nothing in the decision discusses SFAS 112 expenses.

PG&E further supports its position by demonstrating that in a similar advice letter covering the 1996 through 1998 period (Advice Letter 2272-G/2050-E), the LTD true-up basis was contribution, not SFAS 112 expense. In that advice letter filing, the LTD true-up basis was not an issue. If, after six years, ORA believes that D.95-12-055 intended to use SFAS 112 expenses, rather than contributions, then ORA should request such a change in the docket that adopted it, not in this compliance advice letter.

COMMENTS

Public Utilities Code section 311(g)(1) provides that this resolution must be served on all parties and subject to at least 30 days public review and comment prior to a vote of the Commission. Section 311(g)(2) provides that this 30-day period may be reduced or waived upon the stipulation of all parties in the proceeding.

The 30-day comment period for the draft of this resolution was neither waived or reduced. Accordingly, this draft resolution was mailed to parties for comments, and will be placed on the Commission's agenda no earlier than 30 days from today.

FINDINGS

1. PG&E filed Advice Letter 2493-G/2432-E in compliance with Commission Decision 00-02-046.
2. PG&E Advice Letter 2393-G/2432-E filed on October 28, 2003, requests approval to credit the overcollected revenue requirements for medical and life insurance PBOPs and LTD for the period of 1999 through 2002, to the Core Gas Fixed Cost, the Noncore Customer Class Charge Account and the Electric Transition Revenue Account. Crediting these accounts will be more cost effective to ratepayers and shareholders than disbursing checks or credits to individual ratepayers.
3. PG&E will post prior period credit adjustments to the CFCA, NCA, and the TRA accounts totaling \$75,460,000.
4. Pursuant to Section XV of G.O. 96-A, on November 17, 2003, the protest period for PG&E's AL 2493-G/2432-E was extended from November 17, 2003 to December 8, 2003.
5. ORA protested the advice letter on December 8, 2003. ORA protested PG&E's methodology for determining revenue requirement for PBOPs and LTD. ORA specifically objected to PG&E's exclusion of "negative expenses" to the PBOP calculation and to the use of contribution, not SFAS 112 expenses for the LTD calculation.
6. Energy Division reviewed PG&E's methodology for determining revenue requirement and adjustments for PBOPs and LTD and found it consistent with the methodology adopted in the D. 92-12-015 and D.95-12-055, respectively.
7. PG&E's methodology for LTD is consistent with the previous advice letter covering the 1996 through 1998 period (Advice Letter 2272-G/2050-E).
8. ORA provided no information supporting its protest that PG&E's determination of the refund due to ratepayers is incorrect.

THEREFORE IT IS ORDERED THAT:

1. PG&E's Advice Letter 2493-G/2432-E to credit overcollected revenue requirements associated with medical and life PBOPs and LTD is approved.
2. The protest of the Office of Ratepayer Advocates is denied.

This Resolution is effective today.

I certify that the foregoing resolution was duly introduced, passed and adopted at a conference of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California held on February 26, 2004; the following Commissioners voting favorably thereon:

WILLIAM R. AHERN
Executive Director

January 14, 2004

Commission Meeting Date: February 26, 2004
ID #3185

TO: PARTIES to Draft Resolution G-3362, addressing PG&E
ADVICE LETTER 2493-G/2432-E

Enclosed is draft Resolution G-3362 of the Energy Division. It addresses PG&E request to credit the over-collected revenue-requirements for medical and life insurance Post-retirement Benefits Other than Pensions (PBOPs) and Long Term Disability (LTD) as filed in PG&E's Advice Letter 2493-G/2432-E. The draft Resolution will be on the agenda at the February 26, 2004 Commission meeting. The Commission may then vote on this draft Resolution or it may postpone a vote until later.

When the Commission votes on a draft Resolution, it may adopt all or part of it as written, amend, modify or set it aside and prepare a different Resolution. Only when the Commission acts does the Resolution become binding on the parties.

Parties may submit comments on the draft Resolution.

An original and two copies of the comments, with a certificate of service, should be submitted to:

Jerry Royer
Energy Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
fax: 415-703-2200

An electronic copy of the comments should be submitted to:

Jerry Oh
Energy Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
email: "joh@cpuc.ca.gov"

Draft Resolution G-3362
PG&E AL 2493-G/2432-E

January 14, 2004
Page 2

Any comments on the draft Resolution must be received by the Energy Division by Friday, February 11, 2004. Those submitting comments must serve a copy of their comments on 1) the entire service list attached to the draft Resolution, 2) all Commissioners, and 3) the Director of the Energy Division, on the same date that the comments are submitted to the Energy Division.

Comments shall be limited to five pages in length plus the recommended changes to the draft Resolution. Comments shall focus on factual, legal or technical errors in the proposed draft Resolution. Comments that merely reargue positions taken in the advice letter or protests will be accorded no weight and are not to be submitted.

Replies to comments on the draft resolution may be submitted (i.e., received by the Energy Division) on February 17, 2004, five days after comments are filed, and shall be limited to identifying misrepresentations of law or fact contained in the comments of other parties. Replies shall not exceed five pages in length, and shall be served as set forth above for comments.

Late submitted comments or replies will not be considered.

Don Lafrenz
Energy Division

Enclosures:

Certificate of Service

Service List – Parties to PG&E AL 2493-G/2432-E

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I have by mail this day served a true copy of Draft Resolution G-3362 on all parties in these filings or their attorneys as shown on the attached list.

Dated January 14, 2004 at San Francisco, California.

Jerry Royer

NOTICE

Parties should notify the Energy Division, Public Utilities
Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 4002
San Francisco, CA 94102, of any change of address to
insure that they continue to receive documents. You
must indicate the Resolution number on the service list
on which your name appears.

Service List

Mark R. Loy
Office of Ratepayer Advocates
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
mrl@cpuc.ca.gov

Brian Cherry
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
77 Beale Street, Mail Code B10C
P.O. Box 770000
San Francisco, CA 94177
RxDd@pge.com